



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,092	10/03/2003	David Andrew Thomas	82169030	3543
22879	7590	12/08/2011		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Administration			LANIER, BENJAMINE	
3404 E. Harmony Road				
Mail Stop 35			ART UNIT	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			PAPER NUMBER	
			2432	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2011	
			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

ipa.mail@hp.com

laura.m.clark@hp.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/679,092	Applicant(s) THOMAS ET AL.	
	Examiner BENJAMIN LANIER	Art Unit 2432	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BENJAMIN LANIER. (3) ____.

(2) Ashok K. Mannava (Reg. No. 45,301). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☒103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wiser and Parenty.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner proposed including the limitations of claim 15 into the independent claims in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Mannava declined to approve the proposed amendments.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Benjamin E Lanier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432	
--	--